

Aequat. Math. 87 (2014), 105–125

© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published

with open access at Springerlink.com

0001-9054/14/010105-19

published online February 8, 2013

DOI 10.1007/s00010-013-0185-y

Aequationes Mathematicae

Some generalization of the quadratic and Wilson's functional equation

RADOSŁAW ŁUKASIK

Abstract. We find the solutions $f, g, h: G \rightarrow X$, $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ of each of the functional equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = |K|\varphi(y)g(x) + |K|h(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where $(G, +)$ is an abelian group, K is a finite, abelian subgroup of the automorphism group of G , X is a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 39B82.

Keywords. Quadratic functional equation, Wilson's functional equation.

1. Introduction

The generalization of the quadratic functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x + \sigma y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where σ is automorphism of an abelian group G such that $\sigma^2 = id_G$, $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, was investigated by Stetkær [13].

In another work [14] he solved the functional equation

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(z + \omega^n \zeta) = g(z) + h(\zeta), \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $N \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, ω is the primitive N th root of unity, $f, g, h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are continuous.

Łukasik [8] showed the solution of the functional equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = |K|g(x) + |K|h(y), \quad x, y \in S,$$

where $(S, +)$ is an abelian semigroup, K is a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of S , $(H, +)$ is an abelian group.

The functional equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = |K|g(x)h(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where $(G, +)$ is an abelian group, K is a finite subgroup of the automorphism group on G , $f, g, h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, was studied by Förg-Rob and Schwaiger [5], Gajda [6], Stetkær [11, 12], Badora [2].

2. Main result

Throughout the present paper, we assume that X is a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $(G, +)$ is an abelian group, K is a finite, abelian subgroup of the automorphism group of G , $L := \text{card } K$.

We give the complete solution of the following functional equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)g(x) + Lh(y), \quad x, y \in S.$$

In this work we use the following two theorems and one lemma:

Theorem 1 (Shinya [9, Corollary 3.12], Kannappan [7], Czerwik [4], Sinopoulos [10], Chojnacki [3]). *Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian, locally compact, Hausdorff topological group, K be a compact Hausdorff topological transformation group of G acting by automorphisms on G . Let further $d\lambda$ be a normalized Haar measure on K . If $\varphi \in C(G)$ is a nonzero solution of*

$$\int_{\lambda \in K} \varphi(x + \lambda y) d\lambda = \varphi(x)\varphi(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^$ such that*

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\lambda \in K} \chi(\lambda x) d\lambda, \quad x \in G.$$

If φ is bounded, then χ may be taken as a unitary character.

Lemma 1 [1, Lemma 14.1]. *Let Ω be a nonempty set, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Functions $f_1, \dots, f_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are linearly dependent if and only if for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Omega$*

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & f_1(x_2) & \dots & f_1(x_n) \\ f_2(x_1) & f_2(x_2) & \dots & f_2(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_n(x_1) & f_n(x_2) & \dots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Theorem 2 [8, Theorem 5]. Let $(S, +)$ be an abelian semigroup, K be a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of S , $L := \text{card } K$, $(H, +)$ be an abelian group uniquely divisible by $L!$. Then the function $f: S \rightarrow H$ satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = Lf(x), \quad x, y \in S$$

if and only if there exist k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k: S^k \rightarrow H$, $k \in \{1, \dots, L-1\}$ and $A_0 \in H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= A_0 + A_1(x) + \dots + A_{L-1}(x, \dots, x), \quad x \in S, \\ \sum_{\lambda \in K} A_k(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\lambda y, \dots, \lambda y}_i) &= 0, \quad x, y \in S, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq L-1. \end{aligned}$$

First we show some lemmas.

Lemma 2. Let $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a homomorphism, $K_1 \subset K$ be a set such that $\{m \circ \lambda: \lambda \in K_1\}$ is linearly independent. If for some $\mu \in K$

$$m(\mu x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} a_\lambda m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G, \quad (1)$$

then $m \circ \mu = m \circ \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in K_1$.

Proof. Let

$$m(\mu x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} a_\lambda m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G.$$

Therefore, for $x, y \in G$, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K_1} a_\lambda m(\lambda y) m(\lambda x) = m(\mu(y+x)) = m(\mu y) m(\mu x) = m(\mu y) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} a_\lambda m(\lambda x),$$

which means that

$$0 = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} a_\lambda [m(\lambda y) - m(\mu y)] m(\lambda x).$$

From the linear independence we obtain

$$0 = a_\lambda [m(\lambda y) - m(\mu y)], \quad y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1,$$

since there exists $\lambda \in K_1$ such that $a_\lambda \neq 0$,

$$m(\lambda y) = m(\mu y), \quad y \in G.$$

□

Lemma 3. Let $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a homomorphism. Then the set $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K: m \circ \lambda = m\}$ is a subgroup of K .

Lemma 4. *Let $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}$, $K_1 \subset K$ be a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \circ K_1$. Then K_1 is a maximal set such that the set $\{m \circ \lambda : \lambda \in K_1\}$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2, if $\{m \circ \lambda : \lambda \in K_1\}$ is linearly dependent, then $m \circ \lambda = m \circ \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in K_1$, so we get $\lambda \circ \mu^{-1} \in K_0$, which means that $\lambda \in K_0 \circ \mu$, which contradicts the definition of K_1 .

On the other hand each $\lambda \in K$ has the form $\lambda = \lambda_0 \circ \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_0 \in K_0$, $\lambda_1 \in K_1$, hence $m \circ \lambda = m \circ \lambda_0 \lambda_1 = m \circ \lambda_1$, which gives us the maximality of K_1 . \square

Theorem 3. *Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi \neq 0$, satisfy the equation*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} \varphi(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(x)\varphi(y), \quad x, y \in G. \quad (2)$$

Then there exist a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^$, $\beta_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $b_\lambda \in G$, $\lambda \in K_1$, such that*

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \beta_\lambda m(\mu b_\lambda) = \begin{cases} |K_1|, & \mu \in K_0 \\ 0, & \mu \notin K_0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$m(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \beta_\lambda \varphi(x + b_\lambda), \quad x \in G, \quad (5)$$

where $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}$, $K_1 \subset K$ is a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \circ K_1$.

Proof. If we take a discrete topology on group $(G, +)$ and a counting measure on K divided by L , then the assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Hence there exists a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying (3).

Let K_0 and K_1 be as in the statement of this theorem. From the linear independence of the set $\{m \circ \lambda : \lambda \in K_1\}$ and Lemma 1 there exist $b_\lambda \in G$, $\lambda \in K_1$ such that the matrix $[m(\lambda b_\mu)]_{\lambda, \mu \in K_1}$ has a nonzero determinant. Hence, there exist $\beta_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\lambda \in K_1$, which satisfy (4).

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} m(x) &= \frac{1}{|K_0|} \sum_{\mu \in K_0} m(\mu x) = \frac{1}{|K_0| \cdot |K_1|} \sum_{\mu \in K} m(\mu x) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \beta_\lambda m(\mu b_\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \beta_\lambda \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mu \in K} m(\mu(x + b_\lambda)) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \beta_\lambda \varphi(x + b_\lambda), \quad x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (5). \square

Now we prove a generalization of Wilson's functional equation.

Theorem 4. Assume that X is complex. Functions $f: G \rightarrow X, f \neq 0$, $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)f(x), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (6)$$

if and only if there exist a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G, \quad (7)$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right], \quad x \in G, \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \quad (9)$$

where $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}$, $K_1 \subset K$ is a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \cup K_1$.

Proof. It is easy to check that if functions f and φ satisfy conditions (7), (8), (9), then they satisfy Eq. (6).

Assume that f and φ satisfy Eq. (6). Since $f \neq 0$, $\varphi \neq 0$. Note that for $x, y, z \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L \sum_{\mu \in K} \varphi(y + \mu z) f(x) &= \sum_{\mu \in K} \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda(y + \mu z)) = \sum_{\mu \in K} \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y + \mu z) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} L\varphi(z) f(x + \lambda y) = L^2 \varphi(z) \varphi(y) f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $x \in G$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ we obtain that φ satisfies Eq. (2). In view of Theorem 3 we get (7). Now we show that

$$|K_1| \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma y) = \sum_{\rho \in K} m(\rho y) \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu f(x + \rho^{-1} b_\nu), \quad x, y \in G. \quad (10)$$

Indeed, we have the following sequence of identities

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1| \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma y) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \beta_\mu m(\lambda b_\mu) f(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\mu \beta_\nu \varphi(\lambda b_\mu + b_\nu) f(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \sum_{\rho \in K} \frac{1}{L} \beta_\mu \beta_\nu f(x + \lambda y + \rho \lambda b_\mu + \rho b_\nu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\rho \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\mu \beta_\nu \varphi(y + \rho b_\mu) f(x + \rho b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in K} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu m(\rho^{-1}y) f(x + \rho b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in K} m(\rho y) \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu f(x + \rho^{-1}b_\nu), \quad x, y \in G.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $\tau \in K_1$ we define $g_\tau: G \rightarrow X$ by the formula

$$g_\tau(x) := \frac{1}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1}b_\nu), \quad x \in G.$$

From equality (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&Lm(\tau x + \tau y) \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} g_\tau(x + \sigma y) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} Lm(\tau(x + \sigma y)) g_\tau(x + \sigma y) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\rho \in K_0} f(x + \sigma y + \rho \tau^{-1}b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\rho \in K_0} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma y + \sigma \rho \tau^{-1}b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\rho \in K_0} \frac{1}{|K_1|} \sum_{\mu \in K} m(\mu(y + \rho \tau^{-1}b_\nu)) \sum_{\sigma \in K_1} \beta_\sigma f(x + \mu^{-1}b_\sigma) \\
&= \sum_{\mu \in K} m(\mu y) \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\rho \in K_0} \frac{1}{|K_1|} m(\mu \rho \tau^{-1}b_\nu) \sum_{\sigma \in K_1} \beta_\sigma f(x + \mu^{-1}b_\sigma) \\
&= \sum_{\mu \in K} m(\mu y) \frac{|K_0|}{|K_1|} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu m(\mu \tau^{-1}b_\nu) \sum_{\sigma \in K_1} \beta_\sigma f(x + \mu^{-1}b_\sigma) \\
&= |K_0| \sum_{\mu \in K_0} m(\mu \tau y) \sum_{\sigma \in K_1} \beta_\sigma f(x + \tau^{-1}\mu^{-1}b_\sigma) \\
&= |K_0| m(\tau y) \sum_{\sigma \in K_1} \beta_\sigma \sum_{\mu \in K_0} f(x + \mu \tau^{-1}b_\sigma) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot Lm(\tau y) m(\tau x) g_\tau(x), \quad x \in G.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\sigma \in K_0} g_\tau(x + \sigma y) = |K_0| g_\tau(x), \quad x \in G, \quad \tau \in K_1. \quad (11)$$

In view of Theorem 2, for each $\lambda \in K_1$ there exist $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: S^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}$ such that

$$g_\lambda(x) = A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x), \quad x \in G,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) g_\lambda(x) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \lambda^{-1} b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda b_\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu L\varphi(b_\nu) f(x) \\ &= Lm(0) f(x) = Lf(x), \quad x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

Theorem 5. Assume that X is real. Functions $f: G \rightarrow X, f \neq 0, \varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y) f(x), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (12)$$

if and only if there exist a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, A_0^\lambda \in X, B_0^\lambda \in X, k$ -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right. \\ \left. - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right), \quad x \in G, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \quad (16)$$

where $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}, K_1 \subset K$ is a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \circ K_1$.

Proof. It is easy to check that if functions f and φ satisfy conditions (13), (14), (15), then they satisfy Eq. (12).

Assume that f and φ satisfy Eq. (12). Since $f \neq 0$, $\varphi \neq 0$. We observe that for $x, y, z \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L \sum_{\mu \in K} \varphi(y + \mu z) f(x) &= \sum_{\mu \in K} \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda(y + \mu z)) = \sum_{\mu \in K} \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y + \mu z) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} L \varphi(z) f(x + \lambda y) = L^2 \varphi(z) \varphi(y) f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $x \in G$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ we obtain that φ satisfies Eq. (2). In view of Theorem 3 we get (13). From equalities (4) and (5) we have

$$|K_1| \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma y) = \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\nu m(\rho y)) \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \rho^{-1} b_\nu), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (17)$$

$$0 = \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\nu m(\rho y)) \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \rho^{-1} b_\nu), \quad x, y \in G. \quad (18)$$

Indeed, for $x, y \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1| \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma y) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{\mu \in K_1} \beta_\mu m(\lambda b_\mu) \right) f(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu \beta_\nu) \varphi(\lambda b_\mu + b_\nu) f(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \sum_{\rho \in K} \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu \beta_\nu) f(x + \lambda y + \rho \lambda b_\mu + \rho b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu \beta_\nu) \varphi(y + \rho b_\mu) f(x + \rho b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in K} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\nu m(\rho^{-1} y)) f(x + \rho b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\nu m(\rho y)) \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \rho^{-1} b_\nu), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{\mu \in K_1} \beta_\mu m(\lambda b_\mu) \right) f(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\mu \beta_\nu) \varphi(\lambda b_\mu + b_\nu) f(x + \lambda y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \sum_{\rho \in K} \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\mu \beta_\nu) f(x + \lambda y + \rho \lambda b_\mu + \rho b_\nu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\rho \in K} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\mu \beta_\nu) \varphi(y + \rho b_\mu) f(x + \rho b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in K} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\nu m(\rho^{-1}y)) f(x + \rho b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\nu m(\rho y)) \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \rho^{-1} b_\nu),
\end{aligned}$$

For each $\tau \in K_1$ we define $g_\tau, h_\tau: G \rightarrow X$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\tau(x) &:= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu), \quad x \in G, \\
h_\tau(x) &:= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu), \quad x \in G.
\end{aligned}$$

From equalities (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\sum_{\sigma \in K_0} g_\tau(x + \sigma y) = |K_0| g_\tau(x), \quad x, y \in G, \quad \tau \in K_1, \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in K_0} h_\tau(x + \sigma y) = |K_0| h_\tau(x), \quad x, y \in G, \quad \tau \in K_1. \quad (20)$$

Indeed, we have the following sequence of identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&|K_1| \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} g_\tau(x + \lambda y) \\
&= |K_1| \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + \lambda y))} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \lambda y + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} |K_1| \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda(y + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu)) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu m(\rho(y + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu))) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{|K_0| \beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu m(\rho y) m(\rho \tau^{-1} b_\nu)) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) - \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{|K_0| \beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\mu m(\rho y) m(\rho \tau^{-1} b_\nu)) \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |K_0| \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\beta_\mu m(\rho y)}{Lm(\tau(x+y))} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu m(\rho \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \right) \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot |K_1| \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\beta_\mu m(\tau y)}{Lm(\tau(x+y))} \right) \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \tau^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot |K_1| \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta_\mu}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \tau^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot |K_1| g_\tau(x), \quad x \in G.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|K_1| \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} h_\tau(x + \lambda y) \\
&= |K_1| \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + \lambda y))} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \lambda y + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} |K_1| \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda(y + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu)) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu m(\rho(y + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu))) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{|K_0| \beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} (\beta_\mu m(\rho y) m(\rho \tau^{-1} b_\nu)) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) + \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{|K_0| \beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} (\beta_\mu m(\rho y) m(\rho \tau^{-1} b_\nu)) \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \sum_{\rho \in K_1} \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\beta_\mu m(\rho y)}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \beta_\nu m(\rho \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \right) \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \rho^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot |K_1| \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\beta_\mu m(\tau y)}{Lm(\tau(x + y))} \right) \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \tau^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot |K_1| \sum_{\mu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\beta_\mu}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\lambda \in K_0} f(x + \lambda \tau^{-1} b_\mu) \\
&= |K_0| \cdot |K_1| h_\tau(x), \quad x \in G.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 2, for each $\lambda \in K_1$ there exist $A_0^\lambda, B_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: S^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(x) &= A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x), \quad x \in G, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) &= 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \\ h_\lambda(x) &= B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x), \quad x \in G, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) &= 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\tau \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} m(\tau x) g_\tau(x) - \sum_{\tau \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} m(\tau x) h_\tau(x) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in K_1} \left[\operatorname{Re} m(\tau x) \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{Im} m(\tau x) \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau x)} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in K_1} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \left(m(\tau x) \frac{\beta_\nu}{Lm(\tau x)} \right) \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in K_1} \sum_{\sigma \in K_0} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\beta_\nu}{L} \right) f(x + \sigma \tau^{-1} b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\beta_\nu}{L} \right) f(x + \lambda b_\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in K_1} \operatorname{Re} \beta_\nu \varphi(b_\nu) f(x) = m(0) f(x) = f(x), \quad x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

Corollary 1. Functions $f, g: G \rightarrow X, \varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, f \neq 0$, satisfy the equality

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (21)$$

if and only if there exists a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G,$$

and (i) if X is real, then there exist $A_0^\lambda, B_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$f(x) = \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right), \quad x \in G,$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) [B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x)] \right), \quad x \in G,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

(ii) if X is complex, then there exist $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$f(x) = \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right], \quad x \in G,$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right], \quad x \in G,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

where $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}$, $K_1 \subset K$ is a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \circ K_1$.

Proof. Putting $y = 0$ in (21) we have

$$Lf(x) = L\varphi(0)g(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Since $f \neq 0, g \neq 0, \varphi(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K} g(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G,$$

hence for $\varphi_0 := \frac{\varphi}{\varphi(0)}$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} g(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi_0(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G.$$

In view of Theorems 4 and 5 accordingly we obtain (ii) and (i) of the theorem. \square

Theorem 6. Functions $f: G \rightarrow X, \varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, f \neq 0, \varphi \neq \text{const}$, satisfy the equality

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)f(x) + \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (22)$$

if and only if there exists a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G,$$

and

(i) if X is real, then there exist $A_0^\lambda, B_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right) - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = & 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = & 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if X is complex, then there exist $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = & 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}$, $K_1 \subset K$ is a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \circ K_1$.

Moreover

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda x) = L(\varphi(x) - 1) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Putting $x = 0$ in (22) we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) = L\varphi(y)f(0) + \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y), \quad y \in G.$$

Since $\varphi \neq \text{const}$, $f(0) = 0$. Putting $y = 0$ in (22) we get

$$Lf(x) = L\varphi(0)f(x) + Lf(0), \quad x \in G,$$

hence we obtain $\varphi(0) = 1$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L\varphi(x) \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) + L \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda x) &= \sum_{\mu \in K} \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y + \mu x) = \sum_{\mu \in K} \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\mu x + \lambda y) \\ &= L\varphi(y) \sum_{\mu \in K} f(\mu x) + L \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y), \quad x, y \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$L(\varphi(y) - 1) \sum_{\mu \in K} f(\mu x) = L(\varphi(x) - 1) \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

which means that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) = L(\varphi(y) - 1)A_0, \quad y \in G, \quad (24)$$

for some $A_0 \in X$. Therefore we obtain that $f \neq \text{const}$. Putting the above equality in (22) we get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)f(x) + L(\varphi(y) - 1)A_0, \quad x, y \in G,$$

hence, for the function $g: G \rightarrow X$ given by the formula $g := f + A_0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} g(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G.$$

In view of Theorems 4 and 5 there exists a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G,$$

and

(i) if X is real, then there exist $A_0^\lambda, B_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$g(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right), \quad x \in G,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

(ii) if X is complex, then there exist $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$g(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right], \quad x \in G,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

Since $f(0) = 0$ and $g = f + A_0$, we have

$$A_0 = g(0) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda,$$

which together with (24) gives equality (23) and the form of the function f . \square

Corollary 2. Functions $f: G \rightarrow X, \varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, f \neq 0, \varphi \neq \text{const}$, satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)f(x) + Lf(y), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (25)$$

if and only if there exist a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, and $A \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G, \\ f(x) &= (\varphi(x) - 1)A, \quad x \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that if functions f and φ satisfy the above conditions, then they satisfy Eq. (25).

Assume that f and φ satisfy Eq. (25). Putting $x = 0$ in (25) we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) = L\varphi(y)f(0) + Lf(y), \quad y \in G.$$

Putting $y = 0$ in (25) we get

$$Lf(x) = L\varphi(0)f(x) + Lf(0), \quad x \in G.$$

If $\varphi(0) = 0$, then $f = f(0)$ and from the above equalities $f = 0$ which gives a contradiction. Hence, since $\varphi \neq \text{const}$, $f(0) = 0$, $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) = Lf(y)$. In particular f and φ satisfy Eq. (22). In view of Theorem 6 we have

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{\mu \in K} f(\mu x) = L(\varphi(x) - 1)A, \quad x, y \in G,$$

for some $A \in X$. □

Theorem 7. *Functions $f, g, h: G \rightarrow X, \varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, f \neq 0, \varphi \neq \text{const}, \varphi(0) \neq 0$, satisfy the equation*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi(y)g(x) + Lh(y), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (26)$$

if and only if there exists a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^, A, B \in X$ such that*

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G,$$

and

(i) if X is real, then there exist $A_0^\lambda, B_0^\lambda \in X, k$ -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right) + A - \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G, \\ g(x) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right) + B - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G, \\ h(x) &= \varphi(x) \left(\sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda - B \right) + \left(A - \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda \right), \quad x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

(ii) if X is complex, then there exist $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$f(x) = \varphi(0) \left(\sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda \right) + A, \quad x \in G,$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] + B - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G,$$

$$h(x) = \varphi(x) \left(\sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda - B \right) + \left(A - \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda \right), \quad x \in G,$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) = 0, \quad x, y \in G, \quad \lambda \in K_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1,$$

where $K_0 := \{\lambda \in K : m \circ \lambda = m\}$, $K_1 \subset K$ is a minimal set such that $K = K_0 \circ K_1$.

Proof. Putting $x = 0$ in (26) we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) = L\varphi(y)g(0) + Lh(y), \quad y \in G.$$

Putting $y = 0$ in (26) we get

$$Lf(x) = L\varphi(0)g(x) + Lh(0), \quad x \in G.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K} g(x + \lambda y) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(x + \lambda y) - Lh(0) \\ &= L\varphi(y)g(x) + Lh(y) - Lh(0) \\ &= L\varphi(y)g(x) + \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda y) - L\varphi(y)g(0) - Lh(0) \\ &= L\varphi(y)(g(x) - g(0)) + \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K} g(\lambda y), \quad x, y \in G, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us that functions $g_0 = g - g(0)$, $\varphi_0 = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi(0)}$ satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} g_0(x + \lambda y) = L\varphi_0(y)g_0(x) + \sum_{\lambda \in K} g_0(\lambda y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

In view of Theorem 6 there exists a homomorphism $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\varphi_0(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\lambda \in K} m(\lambda x), \quad x \in G,$$

and

(i) if X is real, then there exist $A_0^\lambda, B_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda, B_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(x) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} \left(\operatorname{Re} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{Im} m(\lambda x) \left[B_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} B_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] \right) - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) &= 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} B_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) &= 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if X is complex, then there exist $A_0^\lambda \in X$, k -additive, symmetric mappings $A_k^\lambda: G^k \rightarrow X, k \in \{1, \dots, |K_0| - 1\}, \lambda \in K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(x) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} m(\lambda x) \left[A_0^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{|K_0|-1} A_i^\lambda(x, \dots, x) \right] - \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G, \\ \sum_{\mu \in K_0} A_k^\lambda(x, \dots, x, \underbrace{\mu y, \dots, \mu y}_i) &= 0, \quad x, y \in G, \lambda \in K_1, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq |K_0| - 1, \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{\lambda \in K} g_0(\lambda x) = L(\varphi_0(x) - 1) \sum_{\lambda \in K_1} A_0^\lambda, \quad x \in G.$$

Hence, putting $B := g(0)$, we obtain the form of φ and g . Since

$$Lf(x) = L\varphi(0)g(x) + Lh(0) = L\varphi(0)g_0(x) + Lf(0), \quad x \in G,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Lh(x) &= \sum_{\lambda \in K} f(\lambda x) - L\varphi(x)g(0) = \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K} g(\lambda x) + Lh(0) - L\varphi(x)g(0) \\ &= \varphi(0) \sum_{\lambda \in K} g_0(\lambda x) + Lf(0) - L\varphi(x)g(0), \quad x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

from the form of g_0 we obtain the form of f and h in the real and the complex case of the space X . \square

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- [1] Aczél, J., Dhombres, J.: Functional Equations in Several Variables. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989)
- [2] Badora, R.: On a generalized Wilson functional equation. *Georgian Math. J.* **12**(4), 595–606 (2005)
- [3] Chojnacki, W.: Group representations of bounded cosine functions. Technical report of the University of Adelaide, TR9409 (1994)
- [4] Czerwik, S.: On the stability of the quadratic mappings in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb.* **62**, 59–64 (1992)
- [5] Förg-Rob, W., Schwaiger, J.: A generalization of the cosine equation to n summands. *Grazer Math. Ber.* **316**, 219–226 (1992)
- [6] Gajda, Z.: A remark on the talk of W. Förg-Rob. *Grazer Math. Ber.* **316**, 234–237 (1992)
- [7] Kannappan, P.L.: The functional equation $f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y)$ for groups. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **19**, 69–74 (1968)
- [8] Łukasik, R.: Some generalization of Cauchy's and the quadratic functional equations. *Aequationes Math.* **83**, 75–86 (2012)
- [9] Shinya, H.: Spherical matrix functions and Banach representability for locally compact motion groups. *Jpn. J. Math.* **28**, 163–201 (2002)
- [10] Sinopoulos, P.: Functional equations on semigroups. *Aequationes Math.* **59**, 255–261 (2000)
- [11] Stetkær, H.: On a signed cosine equation of N summands. *Aequationes Math.* **51**(3), 294–302 (1996)
- [12] Stetkær, H.: Wilsons functional equation on C . *Aequationes Math.* **53**(1-2), 91–107 (1997)
- [13] Stetkær, H.: Functional equation on abelian groups with involution, II. *Aequationes Math.* **55**, 227–240 (1998)
- [14] Stetkær, H.: Functional equations involving means of functions on the complex plane. *Aequationes Math.* **56**, 47–62 (1998)

Radosław Łukasik
Institute of Mathematics
University of Silesia
ul. Bankowa 14
40-007 Katowice
Poland
e-mail: rlukasik@math.us.edu.pl

Received: September 17, 2012